	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
To promote literacy in the ca	tegory of engineering design, s	such professional development	: should:		
Engage teams of partici- pants in authentic engineer- ing practices and processes (i.e., participating in the en- gineering design process as initiated by a design chal- lenge statement, through at least one improvement	Participants have the opportunity to complete multiple design challenges as initiated by design chal- lenge statements.	Participants have one opportunity to complete a design process as initiat- ed by a design challenge statement.	Participants have the opportunity to perform multiple steps of a design process as initiated by a design challenge statement; the remaining steps are considered but not per- formed by the participants.	Participants do not have the opportunity to perform multi- ple steps of a design process as initiated by a design challenge statement.	A1-1
cycle, and involving com- munication of results);	Participants engage in a fa- cilitated process to develop a clear and concise problem statement for a given de- sign challenge.	Participants engage in design challenges that are guided by explicit, clear and concise problem state- ments.	Participants engage in design challenges that are guided by implicit problem statements, but no explicit, clear and concise problem statements are provided.	Design challenges are not guided by clear implicit or explicit prob- lem statements.	A1-2
	Participants engage in one or more design challeng- es that reflect authentic local or global engineering needs, and analyze the use- fulness of the engineering design process to address such challenges.	Participants consider the usefulness of the engi- neering design process in addressing authentic local or global engineering chal- lenges.	Participants are presented with information about the usefulness of the engi- neering design process in addressing authentic local or global engineering chal- lenges.	No attention is paid to the use- fulness of the engineering design process in addressing authentic local or global engineering chal- lenges.	A1-3
	Participants engage in, and reflect on the importance of, iteration in engineering design. Participants pro- totype a solution, test the solution, analyze the results, generate redesign ideas, and create a new prototype. Participants may complete further cycles of improve- ment, or simply consider the role of such cycles in engineering.	Participants prototype a solution and consider the process that they would undertake to iterate the solution, but do not com- plete the iterative cycle.	Participants are informed of the role of iteration in engineering design. Proto- typing, testing and redesign are described for partici- pants.	No explicit attention is paid to the role of iteration in engineering design.	A1-4
	Participants engage in doc- umenting, reflecting on, and discussing the key steps of the engineering design pro- cess each time the process is undertaken.	Participants engage in doc- umenting, reflecting, and discussing the key steps of the engineering design process at least once.	Participants engage in one of the following at least once: documenting, reflect- ing, or discussing the key steps of the engineering design process.	Participants do not engage in an explicit discussion of or reflec- tion on the engineering design process.	A1-5
	Participants document and communicate engineering design solutions to peers or facilitators of the profes- sional development and identify how they would modify this communication for presentation to a client.	Participants document and communicate engineering design solutions to peers or facilitators of the profes- sional development.	Participants document en- gineering design solutions but do not communicate solutions to peers or facil- itators of the professional development.	Participants do not document engineering design solutions.	A1-6

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Introduce participants to tools that enable success in engineering; such tools include engineering note- books, simple tools (e.g., rulers) and more sophisti- cated technologies (e.g., computer probeware and software, digital multime- ters);	Participants use tools that enable success in engi- neering and reflect on why these tools are important to engineers.	Participants use tools that enable success in engi- neering and are told why these tools are important to engineers.	Participants use tools that enable success in engi- neering and are told that these tools are important to engineers.	While they may use some tools, participants are not engaged in evaluating whether and why such tools might enable success in engineering.	A2-1
Introduce participants to strategies that enable success in engineering; key strategies include engaging in teams, asking questions, communication about de- sign, and carefully docu- menting work;	Participants use appropri- ate strategies to support the engineering design process and reflect on why these strategies are import- ant to engineers.	Participants use strategies that enable success in engineering and are told why these strategies are important to engineers.	Participants use strategies that enable success in engineering and are told that these strategies are important to engineers.	While they may use some strate- gies, participants are not engaged in evaluating whether and why such strategies might enable suc- cess in engineering.	A3-1
Encourage participants to reflect on multiple experi- ences with the engineering design process, whether these have occurred within or outside the context of the current professional development opportunity, to reinforce learning about engineering content and practices; and	Participants articulate mul- tiple experiences with the engineering design pro- cess, whether these have occurred within or outside the context of the current professional development opportunity, and analyze how the engineering design process enabled an un- derstanding of the Nature, Content and Practices of Engineering.	Participants articulate a single experience with the engineering design process, whether this has occurred within or outside the con- text of the current profes- sional development oppor- tunity, and analyze how the engineering design process enabled an understanding of the Nature, Content and Practices of Engineering.	Participants are given an example of how a partic- ular experience with the engineering design process might enable an under- standing of the Nature, Content and Practices of Engineering.	No attention is paid to how the engineering design process might enable understanding of the Nature, Content and Practices of Engineering.	A4-1
Enable participants to com- pare design in engineering to design in other fields (e.g., fashion, architecture, art).	Participants are given opportunities to reflect on their prior knowledge of the meanings of the word "design"; to attend explicit- ly to the different meanings of the word "design" as used in everyday language and by different fields; and to compare the engineering design process to other conceptions of "design".	Participants reflect on how the engineering design process is an example of a broader conception of design, without comparing engineering design to other ways that "design" may be conceived.	Participants are presented with information about how the engineering design process is an example of a broader conception of design, without comparing engineering design to other ways that "design" may be conceived.	No explicit attention is paid to the engineering design process as an example of a broader conception of design.	A5-1

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
To promote literacy in the ca	tegory of <b>engineering careers</b> ,	such professional developmer	nt should:		
Provide opportunities for participants to learn about engineering fields and pro- fessions;	Participants research and reflect on multiple engi- neering fields and profes- sions.	Participants receive in- formation about multiple engineering fields and professions.	Participants receive infor- mation about one engineer- ing field and profession.	Participants receive no informa- tion about engineering fields and professions. Rather, engineering is described a single general profes- sional field.	A6
	Participants identify the types of engineers who would work on a team ad- dressing a particular design challenge in a profession- al setting. Participants research the represented fields (i.e. professions, projects research areas) on which such engineers currently work.	Participants identify the types of engineers who would work on a team ad- dressing a particular design challenge in a professional setting.	Participants are informed of the types of engineers who would work on a team ad- dressing a particular design challenge in a professional setting.	No attention is paid to the types of engineers who would work on a team addressing a particular design challenge in a professional setting.	A6
	Participants identify the roles and responsibilities of different engineers who would work on a team ad- dressing a particular design challenge in a professional setting. For at least one role/responsibility, par- ticipants research other engineering professions in which such roles are available.	Participants identify the roles and responsibilities of different engineers who would work on a team ad- dressing a particular design challenge in a professional setting.	Participants receive infor- mation about the roles and responsibilities of different engineers who would work on a team addressing a par- ticular design challenge in a professional setting.	No attention is paid to the roles and responsibilities of different engineers who would work on a team addressing a particular design challenge in a professional setting.	A6-
Engage participants in comparing engineering with non-engineering content areas (e.g., mathematics, science, social studies, En- glish language arts, the arts, technology education);	For a particular engineering design challenge or activity, participants analyze con- nections between the engi- neering and non-engineer- ing content. This analysis highlights both the unique nature of engineering and how the engineering con- tent overlaps with, utilizes, or supports the non-engi- neering content.	For a particular engineering design challenge or activity, participants receive infor- mation about the connec- tions between the engineer- ing and non-engineering content. This information highlights both the unique nature of engineering and how the engineering con- tent overlaps with, utilizes, or supports the non-engi- neering content.	Participants reflect on and/ or receive general infor- mation about connections between engineering and non-engineering content.	No attention is paid to the con- nections between engineering and non-engineering content.	A

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Engage participants in com- paring classroom-based engineering experiences with professional engineer- ing practice; and	In reference to a particular engineering design chal- lenge or activity, partic- ipants analyze how the activity has been simplified for classroom use and com- pare this simplification with the complexity of similar activities that might be undertaken by professional engineers. This neces- sitates interaction with practicing engineers if the participants do not have engineering experience of their own.	In reference to a particular engineering design chal- lenge or activity, partici- pants receive information about how the activity has been simplified for class- room use. This information includes comparison of this simplification with the com- plexity of similar activities that might be undertaken by professional engineers.	Participants engage in a general discussion and/or receive general information about the simplified nature of engineering activities as adapted for classroom use.	No explicit attention is paid to the ways in which engineering design challenges or activities designed for classroom use represent sim- plified versions of similar activi- ties that might be undertaken by professional engineers.	A8-1
Provide opportunities for educators to learn about the pre-collegiate and colle- giate academic preparation required for engineering careers.	Participants consider path- ways for multiple careers/ jobs in engineering, includ- ing high school internships, technical certifications, two- year degrees, and four-year degrees.	Participants consider the pre-collegiate and colle- giate academic prepara- tion required for limited pathways to engineering careers (e.g., only formal two- or four-year engineer- ing programs).	Participants consider the pre-collegiate and colle- giate academic preparation required for only one path- way to engineering careers (e.g., a four-year engineer- ing program).	Participants do not consider the pre-collegiate and collegiate academic preparation required for engineering careers.	A9-1
	Participants research and reflect on engineering career pathways and the connections between these pathways. The importance of multiple pathways is considered in the context of the labor market and student engagement.	Participants research and reflect on engineering ca- reer pathways.	Participants receive infor- mation about engineering career pathways.	Participants do not consider engi- neering career pathways.	A9-2
To promote literacy in the ca	tegory of <b>engineering and soc</b>	iety, such professional develo	oment should:		1
Provide opportunities for participants to explore the work of engineers and their contributions to society, as	Participants research and reflect on how engineers have contributed to society.	Participants reflect on how engineers have contributed to society.	Participants receive infor- mation about how engi- neers have contributed to society.	Participants do not consider how engineers have contributed to society.	A10-1
well as ways in which some engineered solutions have caused societal challenges.	Participants research and reflect on how engineered solutions have been, or might be, problematic. This reflection could include an examination of the nature of the problem, how the engineers behind the solu- tion might have anticipated and avoided the problem, and how engineers working today might mitigate the problem.	Participants reflect on how engineered solutions have been, or might be, problem- atic. This reflection could include an examination of the nature of the problem, how the engineers behind the solution might have anticipated and avoided the problem, and how engi- neers working today might mitigate the problem.	Participants receive examples of engineered solutions that have been, or might be, problematic.	Participants do not consider how engineered solutions have been, or might be, problematic.	A10-2

Standard B: Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Teaching Engineering: Professional development for teachers of engineering should emphasize engineering pedagogical content knowledge. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Engage participants in exploring teaching and learning in engineering and how it is similar to, and different from, teaching and learning in science and/or mathematics;	Participants engage in (or recall past engagement in) activities involving the teaching and learning of en- gineering and science and/ or mathematics, drawing on these experiences to reflect on the similarities and dif- ferences between teaching and learning in these fields.	Participants receive information about the similarities and differenc- es between science and/ or mathematics teaching and learning and engineer- ing teaching and learn- ing. Participants receive examples to illustrate these similarities and differences. Participants reflect on the provided information and illustrations.	Participants receive information about the similarities and differences between science and/or mathematics teaching and learning and engineering teaching and learning. Par- ticipants receive examples to illustrate these similari- ties and differences.	Participants do not consider explicitly the similarities and differences between science and/ or mathematics teaching and learning and engineering teaching and learning.	B1-1
Introduce participants to ef- fective classroom manage- ment strategies for enabling learning in engineering;	Participants research effec- tive classroom management strategies for enabling learning in engineering, identify multiple strate- gies to address common challenges in engineering education (e.g., teaming strategies, materials man- agement, project storage), and analyze these strate- gies to determine which will be most effective in their own classrooms.	Participants consider in- formation about classroom management strategies that address common challeng- es in engineering educa- tion. Participants analyze this information in light of their own experiences to determine which will be most effective in their own classrooms.	Participants consider in- formation about classroom management strategies that address common challeng- es in engineering education.	Participants do not consider classroom management strategies that address common challenges in engineering education.	B2-1
Foster participants' ability to develop design challeng- es that are appropriate for their student population, teaching environments, and/or local community;	Participants develop, pilot and refine a new design challenge – or adapt an existing design challenge – so that the result is appropriate for their stu- dent population, teaching environments and/or local community.	Participants develop a new design challenge - or adapt an existing design chal- lenge - so that the result is appropriate for their stu- dent population, teaching environments and/or local community.	Participants consider how they would develop a new design challenge - or adapt an existing design chal- lenge - so that the result is appropriate for their stu- dent population, teaching environments and/or local community.	Participants do not consider how they would develop or adapt design challenges to make them appropriate for their student pop- ulation, teaching environments, and/or local community.	B3-1
	Participants consider and reflect on the demands and benefits of developing and employing a design chal- lenge that is appropriate for their student population, teaching environment and/ or local community. Partic- ipants develop and imple- ment a plan for addressing and overcoming the identi- fied demands.	Participants consider and reflect on the demands and benefits of developing and employing a design chal- lenge that is appropriate for their student population, teaching environment and/ or local community. Partic- ipants develop a plan for addressing and overcoming the identified demands.	Participants consider the demands and benefits of developing and employing a design challenge that is appropriate for their stu- dent population, teaching environment and/or local community.	Participants do not consider the demands and benefits of devel- oping and employing a design challenge that is appropriate for their student population, teach- ing environments and/or local community.	B3-2

Standard B: Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Teaching Engineering: Professional development for teachers of engineering should emphasize engineering pedagogical content knowledge. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
acilitate participants' eflection upon their own eaching practice and ncourage participants to eek feedback from others o refine and optimize their ngineering teaching prac- ice; and	Participants engage in multiple opportunities to reflect on their engineering teaching practice. This re- flection draws on all of the following: experiences (e.g., instructional interactions, prior learning), evidence (e.g., formative assess- ments), and artifacts (e.g., lesson plans, worksheets, assessments, student work) collected in their class- rooms.	Participants engage in multiple opportunities to reflect on their engineer- ing teaching practice, This reflection draws on some of the following: experi- ences (e.g., instructional interactions, prior learning), evidence (e.g., formative assessments), and arti- facts (e.g., lesson plans, worksheets, assessments, student work) collected in their classrooms.	Participants engage in a single reflection on their engineering teaching prac- tice that draws on some or all of the following: expe- riences (e.g., instructional interactions, prior learning), evidence (e.g., formative assessments), and arti- facts (e.g., lesson plans, worksheets, assessments, student work) collected in their classrooms.	Participants do not engage in reflection on their engineering teaching practice that draws on experiences (e.g., instruction- al interactions, prior learning), evidence (e.g., formative assess- ments), or artifacts (e.g., lesson plans, worksheets, assessments, student work) collected in their classrooms.	B4-
	Participants form or join a learning community, or re- cruit a mentor or coach, to obtain feedback about their teaching practice.	Participants identify op- portunities to form or join a learning community, or to recruit a mentor or coach, to obtain feedback about their teaching practice.	Participants receive infor- mation about the benefits of forming or joining a learning community, or re- cruiting a mentor or coach, to obtain feedback about their teaching practice.	Participants do not receive in- formation about the benefits of forming or joining a learning com- munity, or recruiting a mentor or coach, to obtain feedback about their teaching practice.	B4-:
	Participants consider and reflect on the elements of their practice that are es- sential to effective teaching of engineering, set goals for improving their practice, and develop and implement a plan for achieving those goals.	Participants consider and reflect on the elements of their practice that are es- sential to effective teaching of engineering, set goals for improving their prac- tice, and develop a plan for achieving those goals.	Participants consider the elements of their practice that are essential to effec- tive teaching of engineer- ing. Participants identify opportunities for improve- ment.	Participants do not consider the elements of their practice that are essential to effective teaching of engineering.	B4-
	Participants research approaches to mentoring (e.g., in-school mentoring, informal collaborations, professional learning com- munities, online programs, partnerships with indus- try, internships, research experiences). Participants analyze these approaches to identify which would be of greatest benefit to their implementation efforts and why.	Participants receive infor- mation about approaches to mentoring (e.g., in- school mentoring, informal collaborations, professional learning communities, on- line programs, partnerships with industry, internships, research experiences) and how these might support implementation. Partici- pants analyze the provided information to identify the approaches that would best support their implementa- tion efforts.	Participants receive infor- mation about approaches to mentoring (e.g., in- school mentoring, informal collaborations, professional learning communities, on- line programs, partnerships with industry, internships, research experiences) and how these might support implementation.	Participants do not receive infor- mation about approaches to men- toring (e.g., in-school mentoring, informal collaborations, profes- sional learning communities, on- line programs, partnerships with industry, internships, research ex- periences) and how these might support implementation.	B4-4

Standard B: Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Teaching Engineering: Professional development for teachers of engineering should emphasize engineering pedagogical content knowledge. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Promote and support participants' engagement with engineering mentors who can, in turn, support participants' teaching of engineering through a variety of approaches (e.g., field experiences, field trips, nternships, collaborations,	Participants develop and implement a plan to engage mentors with expertise in engineering for support during classroom imple- mentation.	Participants develop a plan to engage mentors with expertise in engineering for support during classroom implementation.	Participants consider sources from which they might elicit mentors with expertise in engineering to support them during class- room implementation.	Participants do not consider sources from which they might elicit mentors with expertise in engineering to support them during classroom implementation.	B5-1
classroom visits).		•	•		

Standard C: Engineering as a Context for Teaching and Learning: Professional development for teachers of engineering should make clear how engineering design and problem solving offer a context for teaching standards of learning in science, mathematics, language arts, reading, and other subjects. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Enable participants to ex- plore research that demon- strates how using engineer- ing design and problem solving as a context for learning improves students' critical thinking skills and academic achievement;	Participants research and synthesize multiple studies linking engineering design and problem solving with improved student academic achievement and critical thinking skills.	Participants receive evi- dence linking engineering design and problem solving with improved student academic achievement and critical thinking skills. Participants reflect on this evidence.	Participants receive evi- dence linking engineering design and problem solving with improved student academic achievement and critical thinking skills.	Participants do not receive evidence linking engineering design and problem solving with improved student academic achievement and critical thinking skills.	C1-1
Engage participants in en- gineering design challeng- es that require horizontal integration with non-en- gineering content (e.g., mathematics, science, social studies, English language arts, the arts, technology education);	For one or more engineer- ing design challenges, par- ticipants analyze and map connections to non-engi- neering content involved in the challenge. Participants identify which non-engi- neering content is required for successful completion of the challenge, and which is useful as extensions to the challenge.	For one or more engineer- ing design challenges, par- ticipants analyze and map connections to non-engi- neering content involved in the challenge.	For one or more engineer- ing design challenges, par- ticipants receive informa- tion about the connections to non-engineering content involved in the challenge.	Participants do not experience explicit opportunities for horizon- tal integration of engineering and non-engineering content.	C2-1
Draw attention to the way in which engineering design and problem solving rein- force skills (e.g., 21st centu- ry skills such as creativity, communication, critical thinking, and collaboration) and practices (e.g., model- ing, data analysis, and pre- sentation) that are relevant to many fields; and	For one or more engineer- ing design challenges, par- ticipants analyze and map connections to skills (e.g., 21st century skills such as creativity, communication, critical thinking, and collab- oration) and practices (e.g., modeling, data analysis, and presentation) that are relevant to many fields.	For one or more engineer- ing design challenges, par- ticipants are presented with evidence of connections to skills (e.g., 21st century skills such as creativity, commu- nication, critical thinking, and collaboration) and practices (e.g., modeling, data analysis, and presen- tation) that are relevant to many fields. Participants reflect on this evidence.	For one or more engineer- ing design challenges, par- ticipants are presented with evidence of connections to skills (e.g., 21st century skills such as creativity, commu- nication, critical thinking, and collaboration) and practices (e.g., modeling, data analysis, and presen- tation) that are relevant to many fields.	Participants do not experience explicit opportunities to con- nect engineering design to skills (e.g., 21st century skills such as creativity, communication, critical thinking, and collaboration) and practices (e.g., modeling, data analysis, and presentation) that are relevant to many fields.	C3-1
Encourage participants to integrate engineering into the existing curriculum.	Participants revise at least one unit of their existing curriculum to include engi- neering. Participants then reflect on how the curric- ulum is enhanced through the addition of engineering.	Participants are given examples of how other teachers have incorporated engineering into their exist- ing curriculum. Participants analyze these examples and identify specific opportuni- ties integrate engineering into their curricula.	Participants are given examples of how other teachers have incorporated engineering into their exist- ing curriculum. Participants consider how they might similarly integrate engineer- ing into their curricula.	Participants do not address the incorporation of engineering into their existing curriculum.	C4-1

Standard D: Curriculum and Assessment: Professional development for teachers of engineering should empower teachers to identify appropriate curriculum, instructional materials, and assessment methods. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Enable participants to iden- tify engineering curriculum that is developmentally, instructionally, and cogni- tively appropriate for their students;	Participants analyze and provide evidence of the de- velopmental, instructional and cognitive appropriate- ness of a curriculum for a particular student popula- tion.	Participants receive evidence of the develop- mental, instructional and cognitive appropriateness of a curriculum for a par- ticular student population. Participants reflect on the provided evidence.	Participants receive evi- dence of the developmen- tal, instructional and cog- nitive appropriateness of a curriculum for a particular student population.	Participants do not consider the developmental, instructional and cognitive appropriateness of a curriculum for a particular student population.	D1-
	Participants fully develop modifications to improve the developmental, in- structional and cognitive appropriateness of curricu- lar materials.	Participants identify modifications that would improve the developmental, instructional and cognitive appropriateness of curricu- lar materials.	Participants consider whether modifications might improve the devel- opmental, instructional and cognitive appropriateness of curricular materials.	Participants do not consider whether modifications might im- prove the developmental, instruc- tional and cognitive appropriate- ness of curricular materials.	D1-2
Engage participants in evaluating the potential of engineering curriculum to address one or more sets of student learning stan- dards (e.g., ITEEA learning standards, Next Generation	Participants analyze and provide evidence of how curriculum aligns with one or more sets of student learning standards.	Participants receive evidence of how a given curriculum aligns with one or more sets of student learning standards. Partici- pants reflect on the provid- ed evidence.	Participants receive evidence of how a given curriculum aligns with one or more sets of student learning standards.	Participants do not consider the alignment of curriculum with any particular set of student learning standards.	D2-
Science Standards, state standards);	If the curriculum requires curricular extensions to increase alignment with student learning standards, participants develop such extensions.	If the curriculum requires curricular extensions to increase alignment with student learning standards, participants identify op- portunities to develop such extensions.	Participants consider whether curricular exten- sions might increase align- ment with student learning standards.	gn- might increase alignment with	D2-:
Engage participants in evaluating the potential of engineering curriculum to support a particular set of engineering learning objectives;	Participants receive infor- mation about the engineer- ing learning objectives for each activity. Participants analyze the curricular materials to determine the extent to which these materials are necessary and sufficient to support the stated learning objectives.	Participants receive infor- mation about the engi- neering learning objectives for each activity, as well as evidence of the extent to which the curricular materials are necessary and sufficient to support these objectives. Participants reflect on the provided evidence.	Participants receive infor- mation about the engi- neering learning objectives for each activity, as well as evidence of the extent to which the curricular materials are necessary and sufficient to support these objectives.	Participants do not consider the engineering learning objectives for each activity.	D3-
	If the curriculum requires curricular extensions to better support the stated engineering learning objec- tives, participants develop such extensions.	If the curriculum requires curricular extensions to better support the stated engineering learning objec- tives, participants identify opportunities to develop such extensions.	Participants consider whether curricular exten- sions might be developed to better support the stated engineering learning ob- jective.	Participants do not consider whether curricular extensions might better support the stated engineering learning objectives.	D3-

Standard D: Curriculum and Assessment: Professional development for teachers of engineering should empower teachers to identify appropriate curriculum, instructional materials, and assessment methods. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Engage participants in evaluating the adaptability of engineering curriculum to local conditions (e.g., scheduling/timing, empha- sis on content/methods, cultural context, similarity to other activities in an existing curriculum);	Participants analyze a particular curriculum to identify opportunities for adaptation to address local conditions. Participants adapt one or more compo- nents of the curriculum to address these conditions.	Participants are given examples of how other teachers have adapted a particular curriculum to address local conditions. Participants analyze these examples and identify ways in which they might similarly adapt a particular curriculum to address local conditions.	Participants consider the importance of adapting materials to address local conditions and are given examples of how other teachers have adapted a particular curriculum to address local conditions.	Participants do not consider the importance of adapting materials to address local conditions.	D4-1
Engage participants in eval- uating the available teacher support for a particular engineering curriculum;	Participants receive re- search-based information about what constitutes good teacher support. Participants analyze the teacher support provided with a curriculum to deter- mine the extent to which it is necessary and sufficient for its successful implemen- tation.	Participants receive re- search-based information about what constitutes good teacher support, as well as evidence of the extent to which the teacher support provided with a curriculum is necessary and sufficient for its successful implementation. Partici- pants reflect on the provid- ed evidence.	Participants receive re- search-based information about what constitutes good teacher support, as well as evidence of the extent to which the teacher support provided with a curriculum is necessary and sufficient for its successful implementation.	•	D5-1
	If successful implemen- tation requires additional teacher supports, beyond those provided with the curriculum, participants develop and implement a plan for engaging such supports before and during implementation.	If successful implemen- tation requires additional teacher supports, beyond those provided with the curriculum, participants develop a plan for engaging such supports.	Participants consider whether additional teacher supports, beyond those provided with the curricu- lum, might be necessary for successful implementation.	Participants do not consid- er whether additional teacher supports, beyond those provided with the curriculum, might be necessary for successful imple- mentation.	D5-2
Engage participants in examining the authenticity and appropriateness of formative and summative assessments embedded in a curriculum; and	Participants are provided with a curriculum's embed- ded assessments and the learning objectives to which they are tied. Participants analyze and provide evi- dence of the authenticity and appropriateness of the embedded assessments.	Participants are provided with a curriculum's em- bedded assessments and evidence of their authentic- ity and appropriateness for evaluating associated learn- ing objectives. Participants reflect on the provided evidence.	Participants are provided with a curriculum's em- bedded assessments and evidence of their authen- ticity and appropriateness for evaluating associated learning objectives.	Participants do not consider the authenticity or appropriateness of embedded assessments.	D6-1
	If the curriculum requires additional and/or modified assessments, participants develop such assessments.	If the curriculum requires additional and/or modified assessments, participants consider how they would develop such assessments.	Participants consider whether additional and/or modified assessments are required.	Participants do not consider whether additional and/or modi- fied assessments are required.	D6-2

**Standard D: Curriculum and Assessment:** Professional development for teachers of engineering should empower teachers to identify appropriate curriculum, instructional materials, and assessment methods. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Demonstrate connections and alignment between engineering curriculum, nstruction, learning, and assessment.	For a given curriculum, participants analyze and provide evidence of the connections among all of the elements: curriculum, pedagogy/instruction, stu- dent and teacher learning, and assessment.	For a given curriculum, par- ticipants receive evidence of connections among all of the elements: curricu- lum, pedagogy/instruc- tion, student and teacher learning, and assessment. Participants reflect on the provided evidence.	For a given curriculum, par- ticipants receive evidence of connections among all of the elements: curriculum, pedagogy/instruction, stu- dent and teacher learning, and assessment.	Participants do not consider the connections between curriculum, pedagogy/instruction, student and teacher learning, and assess- ment.	D7
					•

Standard E: Alignment to Research, Standards, and Educational Practices: Professional development for teachers of engineering should be aligned to current educational research and student learning standards. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Be developed and refined in collaboration with experts in the fields of engineering, engineering pedagogy, and teacher professional devel- opment;	The professional devel- opment is designed and refined with input from relevant experts in all three of these fields: engineering, engineering pedagogy, and teacher professional devel- opment.	The professional devel- opment is designed and refined with input from relevant experts in two of these fields: engineering, engineering pedagogy, and teacher professional devel- opment.	The professional devel- opment is designed and refined with input from relevant experts in one of these fields: engineering, engineering pedagogy, and teacher professional devel- opment.	The professional development is designed and refined without input from relevant experts in any of these fields: engineering, en- gineering pedagogy, and teacher professional development.	E1-1
Be developed and re- fined in collaboration with stakeholders (e.g., state education agency person- nel, school administrators, teachers);	The professional devel- opment is designed and refined with input from all stakeholder groups.	The professional devel- opment is designed and refined with input from mul- tiple stakeholder groups.	The professional devel- opment is designed and refined with input from one stakeholder group.	The professional development is designed and refined without input from stakeholder groups.	E2-1
Enable participants to experience the curriculum that they will teach;	The professional develop- ment engages participants actively in all steps of all learning modules of the curriculum that they will teach.	The professional develop- ment engages participants actively in all steps of some of the learning module s of the curriculum that they will teach. Participants engage in the key com- ponents of the remaining modules.	The professional develop- ment engages participants actively in some of the learning modules of the curriculum that they will teach. Participants receive information about the re- maining modules.	The professional development does not engage participants actively in the learning modules of the curriculum that they will teach.	E3-1
Model effective engineering teaching practices;	Professional development providers always employ effective engineering teach- ing practices while facilitat- ing engineering activities.	Professional development providers regularly em- ploy effective engineering teaching practices while facilitating engineering activities, but sometimes explicitly step outside of such practices.	Professional development providers occasionally employ effective engineer- ing teaching practices while facilitating engineering activities.	Professional development provid- ers do not employ effective engi- neering teaching practices while facilitating engineering activities.	E4-1
Employ differentiated in- struction techniques;	The professional devel- opment provider gathers information about the participants' background or experience in content and pedagogical content knowl- edge. The professional de- velopment implements fully differentiated instruction to meet each participant's individual needs.	The professional devel- opment provider gathers information about the participants' background or experience in content and pedagogical content knowledge. The profes- sional development targets the average participant and provides general sugges- tions for others.	The professional devel- opment provider gathers information about the participants' background or experience in content and pedagogical content knowledge. The profession- al development targets the average participant.	The professional development provider makes no attempt to assess or account for the partici- pants' background or experience in content and pedagogical con- tent knowledge.	E5-1
Be guided by formative assessment;	The professional develop- ment includes formative assessment or checks for participants' understand- ing, and the professional development is modified for each participant based on these individual results.	The professional develop- ment includes formative assessment or checks for participants' understand- ing, and the professional development is modified based on these aggregated results.	The professional develop- ment includes formative assessment or checks for participants' understanding, but the results do not shape or modify the professional development.	The professional development does not include formative as- sessments or checks for partici- pants' understanding.	E6-1

Standard E: Alignment to Research, Standards, and Educational Practices: Professional development for teachers of engineering should be aligned to current educational research and student learning standards. It should:

	HIGH EMPHASIS	MODERATE EMPHASIS	LOW EMPHASIS	NO EMPHASIS	ROW REFERENCE
Encourage risk-taking by participants;	The professional develop- ment provides a safe place that encourages ongoing intellectual risk taking by the participants.	The professional develop- ment provides a safe place that encourages occasional intellectual risk taking by the participants.	The professional devel- opment does not overtly encourage intellectual risk taking.	The professional development discourages intellectual risk taking.	E7-1
Be longitudinal; and	The professional develop- ment requires continued engagement with partici- pants over time.	The professional devel- opment offers multiple opportunities for continued engagement.	The professional develop- ment offers limited op- portunities for continued engagement.	The professional development does not offer opportunities for continued engagement.	E8-1
Evolve through a process of continuous improve- ment that employs ongoing evaluation, assessment and revision.	Professional development provider collects sufficient and relevant data be- fore, during and after the professional development; analyzes these data; and employs the results of this analysis to inform improve- ments.	Professional development provider collects sufficient and relevant data before, during and after the profes- sional development.	Professional development provider collects data before, during and/or after the professional develop- ment, but it is insufficient to inform improvements.	Professional development provid- er does not collect data to inform improvements.	E9-1